

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,554	08/22/2003	Yoshinao Nagashima	240945US0DIV	8690
22850	7590 02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
	KE STREET NDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,554	NAGASHIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 16,17,21 and 22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 16,17,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the large transfer in the drawing(s) is objected to by the large transfer in the drawing(s) is objected to by the large transfer in the large trange transfer in the large transfer in the large transfer in the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/645,554

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Reciept of request for continued examination dated 11-14-05 is acknowledged.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-14-05 has been entered.

Claims 16, 17, 21 and 22 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 16, 17, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10036246 A.

JP teaches a skin composition comprising a medicinally active sesquiterpene alcohol, cedrol (abstract). JP teaches that cedrol is obtained from cedar wood oil by extraction from plant or by synthesizing. JP teaches the amount of cedrol in an amount of 0.01%-10% weight. JP suggests preparing the composition in the form of lotion, gel, pack, milky lotion, cream, ointment etc. JP does not teach the claimed limitations of "odor below a detectable threshold", purity of at least 70% and the specific formulations

Application/Control Number: 10/645,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

such as lotion and emulsion. However, a review of the instant specification reveals that there is substantial individual variation in the sensitivity to and preference for scents (page 2) indicating that detectable threshold highly variable depending on the individual. It is also noted that instant specification does not define what constitutes "an odor substantially below the detectable threshold". Further, JP teaches the same lowest concentration of cedrol as that claimed in the instant application i.e., 0.1%. It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to prepare a lotion, an emulsion or any other suitable form with an amount of cedrol as low as 0.01% resulting in odor, which is below the detectable threshold. With respect to the purity of cedrol claimed, while the abstract does not explicitly teach, the reference recognizes extracting as well as synthesizing the cedrol compound.

Accordingly, extracting or synthesizing a substantially compound would have been within the scope of a skilled artisan and the burden is shifted to applicants to show that the purity of cedrol in JP does not meet the claimed limit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16, 17, 21 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -6.30 PM

Application/Control Number: 10/645,554

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615

January 31, 2006